# Electrical anisotropy of mineralized and non mineralized rocks T.J. Katsube, M.E. Best\*, and Jones, A.G., Geological Survey of Canada

### Summary

Significant electrical resistivity anisotropy, up to 1000:1, has been observed in rock samples containing sulphides and samples barren of sulphides. Anisotropy associated with sulphides generally has nsistivity in one direction within the typical ground EM detection limit (less than IO  $\Omega$ -m), but in the perpendicular directions the resitivity can be well above this limit (100 to 19,000  $\Omega$ -m). Such examples have been observed in rocks from Snow Lake (Manitoba), the Bathurst Mining Camp (New Brunswick) and the Trans-Hudson orogen (Saskatchewan). The Snow Lake study was the first of these studies and was carried out to seek an explanation for the weaker than expected electromagnetic (EM) responses of several of the sulphide bodies in the region. This continuing study attempts to understand the electrical mechanisms involved in such anisotropic processes in order to provide information for development of improved EM interpretation and survey methods, and of improved EM instrumentation.

#### Introduction

Interpretation of EM responses associated with massive sulphide exploration often leads to inconsistancies and surprises. Massive sulphide bodies may be less conductive than anticipated. For example, tectonics (shearing and folding), mineral grain size, vein structure and mineral types all affect the bulk conductivity. Several of these affects can also lead to a preferred direction for the conductivity and hence anisotropic affects. Anisotropy can also exist in host (non sulphide) rocks as well leading to unanticipated resistivity structure.

Understanding the mechanisms that explain conductivity variations in a sulphide body and understanding how anisotropy affects bulk conductivity is important for interpreting EM responses. The development of better methods of EM interpretation, surveying and instrument design may be possible because of our improved understanding of these mechanisms.

### Method of Investigation

Laboratory electrical measurements (bulk resistivity and formation factor) have been carried out on seven samples (15 specimens) representing various types os sulphide mineralization in the Flin Flon - Snow Lake volcanic belt (Katsube et al., 1996a), on ten representative samples (19 specimens) from the Brunswick 12 deposit in the Bathurst Mining Camp (Katsube et al, 1996b), and on seven surface rock samples (gneiss, graywacke and argillite) from a biotitic metasedimentary unit of the Trans-Hudson Orogen (Katsube et al., 1996c). The specimens, generally of rectangular shape, were cut from these samples to allow electrical measurements to be made in three perpendicular directions. The electric.4 resistivity ( $\rho_r$ ) values measured in this study were determined using complex electrical resistivity measurements (1 to  $10^6$  Hz) described in the literature (see for example Katsube and Scromeda, 1994; Katsube et al., 1992, 1991; Katsube and Salisbury, 1991).

## 

Three anisotropic mechanisms have been observed in the samples from the Bathurst Mining Camp (Katsube et al, 1996b). These are (a) layered sulphide structures, (b) disseminated sulphide structures, and(c) insulating veinlet structures. Mechanism (a) is represented by strong directional effects in the electrical resistivity distribution of a chloritized iron formation sample (Figure 1). This material

## INTERFERENCE (III) (FOLIATION)



Figure 1: One of the anisotropic mechanisms (Katsube et al, 1996b). The chloritized iron formation sample consists of inter-layerd sulphide-rich material (7 to 8 Q-m) and non-sulphide material (greater than 10,000 n-m). resulting in electrical resistivities of 7 to 8 Q-m in directions parallel to the foliation, and of greater than 10,000  $\Omega$ -m in the directions perpendicular to the foliation.

## **Electrical** anisotropy

consists of an inter-layering of sulphide-rich material (7 to 8  $\Omega$ -m) and non-sulphide material (greater than 10,000  $\Omega$ m), resulting in electrical resistivities of 7 to 8 R-m in directions parallel to the foliation, and of greater than 10,000 R-m in the direction perpendicular to the foliation. Mechanism (b) is represented by a number of thin veins (less than 1 mm thick) containing sulphides that cut across metamorphosed foot wall sediment material (Figure 2), thus reducing the electrical resistivity of the bulk metamorphosed rock from over 10,000 R-m (estimated) to 8 to 1,000  $\Omega$ .m. Mechanism (c) is represented by a quartz vein (1 mm thick) cutting across an iron formation sample, raising the electrical resistivity of the original material from 10,000 to 22,000 R-m. This implies, that if this vein were to cut across conductive material (e.g. a sulphide ore body) it could raise the bulk resistivity from less than 5  $\Omega$ -m to more than 10,000  $\Omega$ -m.

## INTERFERENCE (II) (SULPHIDE DISSEMINATION)



Figure 2: Another anisotropic mechanism (Katsube et al, 1996b). Thin veins (less than I mm thick) containing sulphides cut across metamorphosed foot wall sediment material, reducing the electrical resistivity **of** the bulk rock from over 10,000  $\Omega$ -m (estimated) to 8 to 1,000  $\Omega$ -m, in the direction **Of** the vein.

## Shearing Mechanisms observed in the Snow Lake region

The anisotropic mechanisms discussed above have also been observed in samples from Snow Lake massive sulphide deposits (Katsube et al., 1996a). These rocks are metamorphosed volcanics (Katsube et al., 1996a). Samples with anisotropic textural characteristics displaying foliations containing sulphide mineralization, exhibit electrical anisotropy as expected. Lower resistivity (less than 2 n-m) values are seen in the direction parallel to the foliation and higher resistivities are observed (100 to 500 O-m) in the perpendicular directions. Surprisingly, several samples displayed considerable resistivity anisotropy in a direction opposite to the textural trends, in other words what was expected to be the more conductive direction was actually more resistive (Figure 3). Anisotropic ratios as high as 70:1 (2100 to 22  $\Omega$ -m) have been observed in such cases. Preliminary examination of these samples suggests the

existence of shearing in a direction approximately normal to that of the foliation (Katsube et al., 1996a). Shearing therefore can break the good electrical connectivity that may have existed parallel to the foliation. The shearing is most likely the main cause of the strong resistivity anisotropy.



Figure 3: Sample displaying considerable resistivity anisotropy in the opposite direction to that expected from the textural trends (Katsube et al., 1996a). For example. samples SN-Ala and SN-Sla give some indication, from their tectural characteristics, that the  $\beta$  direction may be more conductive than other directions. However, that direction actually has the smallest conductivity values **for** these samples, with SN-Ala and SN-Sla having values **of** 92 **Ω-m** and 2100 **Ω-m** in this direction respectively.30 to 100 times the resistivity values in the perpendicular directions.

## Folded Mechanisms observed in the Trans-Hudson Orogen

Tectonic control of electrical anisotropy due to folding has been observed in samples from the Tram-Hudson Orogen, Northern Saskatchewan (Katsube et al., 1996c). Resistivities of the rocks from this region display a wide range of values (**3000 to 20,000** O-m). While the larger values are typical for the gneissic rocks in this area the smaller ones appear to be due to layers (thicknesses of about 1 to 5 mm) of sulphide concentrations. These layers can also lead to significant electrical resistivity anisotropy. When these

## Electrical anisotropy

rocks are folded, with sulphide layers accumulated near the head of the fold (Figure 4), they create a zone of high electrical conductivity along its axis. The resistivities are 3 to 8  $\Omega$ -m in the direction of the axis, and 2,000 to 20,000 R-m in the two perpendicular directions, resulting in anisotropic ratios between 200:1 and 7,000:1 (Katsube et al., 1996c).

## Implication for Improved EM Interpretation and Survey Design

These results imply that electrical anisotropy may be an important factor in interpreting and designing electromagnetic surveys. Improved EM survey design may increase our ability to interpret geological bodies with large electrical anisotropy. In addition, improved EM systems could also lead to better quality data that is easier to interpret in these complex areas. Katsube et al.(1996c). for example, suggestedthat either broadband frequency domain EM systems with at least one coil pair operating in the 30 to 60 kHz range, or timedomain EM systems with an inpulse channel may offer greater ability to detect these anisotropic conductors.



Figure 4: A folded gneissic rock sample with sulphide layers accumulated near the head **of** the fold, forming a zone Of high electrical conductivity along ifs axis (Katsube et al., 1996c).

#### Conclusions

These results indicate nsistivity anisotropy can be significant and can occur in both mineralized (sulphide) rocks and non-mineralized rocks. Indeed, the large resistivity differences encountered indifferent directions can cause major problems in interpreting EM surveys. Further research is needed to characterize these affects in different environments so that new EM methods can he produced to properly interpret them.

## References

Katsube, T.J. and Salisbury, M., 1991, Petrophysical characteristics of surface core samples fmm the Sudbury structure: Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 91-E, 265-271.

Katsube, T.J., Best M.E., and Mudford, B.S., 1991, Petmphysical characteristics of shales from the Scotian shelf: Geophysics, 56, 1681-1689.

Katsube, T.J., Scromeda, N., Mareschal, M., and Bailey, R.C., 1992, Electrical resistivity and porosity of crystalline rock samples from the Kapuskasing Structural Zone, Ontario: in Current Research, Part E, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 92-1E, 225-236.

Katsube, T.J. and Scromeda, N., 1994, Physical properties of Canadian kimberlites; Somerset Island and Saskatchewan: in Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 94-1B, 35-42.

Katsube, T.J., Palacky, G.J., Sangster, D.F., Galley, A.G., and Scromcda, N., 1996a, Electrical properties of disseminated sulphide ore samples from Snow Lake; in EXTECH I: Multidisciplinary Approach to Massive Sulphide Research in Rusty Lake-Snow Lake Greenstone Belts, Manitoba, (ed.) G.F. Bonham-Carter, A.G. Galley, and G.E.M. Hall; Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 426, p.3 19-329.

Katsube, T.J., Best, ME., and Scomeda, N., 1996b, Electrical characteristics of mineralized and non-mineralized rocks exposed *in* the Bathurst area: Poster presentation at Geological Survey of Canada Minerals Colloquium 1996, Ottawa, January 22-24.

Katsube, T.J., Jones, A.G., Scromeda, N., and Schwann, P., 1996c, Electrical characteristics of rock samples from the La Ronge Domain of the Trans-Hudson Orogen, northern Saskatchewan: in preparation for publication in Geological Survey of Canada, Current Research, 96-E.